





RealPEP P1

Merging Radar and CML QPE

Julius Polz, Maximilian Graf, Christian Chwala

RealPEP project meeting, 16.05.23, online

P1 KIT overview

Roadmap by Julius









| | Optical flow estimation to compute intermediate timesteps | | Probabilist Bayesian inferen | ic QPE ce approach | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Neural network approach to gauge adjusted radar super- resolution | | Random | error | |
| | | Intercomparisor | | | Ensemble QPE |
| Source: C. Ruf, KIT | | | Systematic | c bias | |
| F A | | | Spatio-temporal mismatch | | |
| | | | Estimation | Correction | |
| | | | | | |

A deep convolutional neural network with residual blocks is trained to fit radar patches to gauge data



A deep convolutional neural network with residual blocks is trained to fit radar patches to gauge data



We use a large database of RADOLAN-RY and 1-minute gauge data



Training data: 2020

Validation data: 2021

Test data: 2013 to 2021

ResRadNet shows clear improvement of RADOLAN-RY





ResRadNet shows clear improvement of RADOLAN-RY





R_{nn1} [mm]

ResRadNet shows clear improvement of RADOLAN-RY



13

Improvement is also consistent over time





2021-07-06T16:50

Conclusion for Radar-DeepLearning-adjustment

- Neural network approach improves common metrics significantly (PCC, NRMSE etc.)
- Result not satisfying for extreme values

Julius' intepretation:

- Probabilistic approach necessary to predict range of possible values.
- Neural network likely to learn the mean (maximum likelihood).
- Bias stemming from large amount of small rain rate values during training

Also try in the future:

- Predict the five 1-minute values of the station → similar to advection correction (done)
- Add CML information as additional input

Paper under review (Polz et al., 2023, TGRS)

| Probabilistic QPE Bayesian inference approach |
|--|
| Random error |
| Intercomparison Systematic bias |
| Spatio-temporal mismatch |
| Estimation Correction |
| |



| Optical flow estimation to compute intermediate timesteps | Probabilistic QPE Bayesian inference approach |
|--|--|
| Neural network approach to gauge adjusted radar super- resolution "simple" mergin | Random error ng Ensemble QPE |
| | Systematic bias Spatio-temporal mismatch Estimation Correction |

 $R(Z_{lin}, K_{DP})$





 $R(Z_{lin}, K_{DP}) = R(Z_{lin})$ combined with $R(K_{DP})$ for Z > 40 dBZ

Chen et al. (2023), JHM



 $R(Z_{lin}, K_{DP}) = R(Z_{lin})$ combined with $R(K_{DP})$ for Z > 40 dBZ

 $R(A, K_{DP}) = R(A)$ combined with $R(K_{DP})$ for Z > 40 dBZ

 $R(A, K_{DP})$ with

- MRR (vertical profile correction of Z and K_{DP})
- gap filling with X-band radar observations

Chen et al. (2023), JHM

 $R(Z_{lin}, K_{DP})$

52.0

51.5

51.0 50.5

50.0

49.5

52.0

51.5

50.0

49.5

6

longitudes

91.0

 $R(A, K_{DP})$

10



 $R(A, K_{DP})$ with MRR

not adjusted

CML adjusted

 $R(A, K_{DP})$ with MRR $R(Z_{lin}, K_{DP})$ $R(A, K_{DP})$ and X-band 52.0 180 51.5 140 100 51.0 50.5 60 40 20 50.0 10 2 49.5 52.0 51.5 91.0 50.0 49.5 10 10 10 9 6 9 6 longitudes longitudes longitudes

not adjusted



nou

ainfall_a

CML adjustment shows clear improvement, except for the case of Radar QPE with X-Band and MRR data



Had to fix bug in pyRADOLAN adjustment code...



Had to fix bug in pyRADOLAN adjustment code...

New long-term comparison of own RW (produced with *pyRADOLAN*) and DWD's RADOLAN-RW shows good agreement



Summary



Summary



Backup slides

Ahr-Hochwasser: Unangeeichtes Radar unterschätzt stark

Radar unangeeicht



- 100
 - Niederschlagssumme
- 13.-15. Juli 2021 40

Aktuelle Ergebnisse aus dem Projekt HoWa-PRO



GEFÖRDERT VOM

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





Korrektur durch CML-Aneichung ist ähnlich zu Stationsaneichung



Bester RMSE für Aneichung mit CML + Stationen

