



SPP 2115

Polarimetric Radar simulations with realistic Ice and Snow properties and mulTI-frequeNcy consistency Evaluation

PRISTINE

Soumi Dutta and Davide Ori (University of Cologne)

Jana Mendrok and Ulrich Blahak (DWD)

PROM All-hands Meeting Kiel 2023

Preliminary Work: polarimetric FO

Polarimetric extension of EMVORADO

Based on T-matrix oblate soft spheroids: ZH, ZDR, KDP, PhiDP, LDR, RhoHV, AH Volume scans (range, azimuth, elevation) Values on model grid as intermediate step

- PSDs and mass-size-relations consistent to model microphysics
- "Realistic" assumptions on Particle shapes / canting angles
- Volume scans include propagation effects: attenuation, beam blockage, beam smoothing
- Efficiency by use of look-up tables and parallelisation (MPI, OpenMP)
- Online coupled to COSMO and ICON, offline version available

24h timeseries of synthetic QVPs of ZH and ZDR from ICON-D2 (free) forecast



T-Matrix a great tool with some deficiencies



Model



T-Matrix based simulations show a **consistent deficit** in terms of **polarimetric response** in the dendritic growth layer where large, "fluffy" particles prevail.



Multiple studies identified the spheroidal scattering model as a major source of uncertainty



Strategy

• Extend EMVORADO with new scattering tables and evaluate with real data



Strategy

• Extend EMVORADO with new scattering tables and evaluate with real data



• Simply substitute spheroids with realistic shapes



Strategy

• Extend EMVORADO with new scattering tables and evaluate with real data



- Simply substitute spheroids with realistic shapes
- Ok, but ... which one?



Requirements

- 1) Maintain consistency with the model
- 2) Realistic particle modeling
- 3) Flexibility on orientation, sizes, frequencies

4) EMVORADO still fast

Questions

Is the model microphysics self-consistent?

What is the uncertainty due to natural variability of properties?

TECHNICAL: Address the dimensionality problem efficiently

TECHNICAL: How to preserve the complexity in Look-Up Tables



SELF INTRODUCTION



Current Affiliation

PostDoctoral Researcher Institute for Geophysics and Meteorology University of Cologne, Germany

PhD

Centre for Atmospheric Sciences, IIT Delhi, India & Department of Atmospheric Sciences, UIUC, USA

Soumi Dutta

Transition from Cloud Macro-physics to Cloud Micro-physics

Cloud Remote Sensing from Satellites



Image curtsey : MISR

PhD Thesis

Towards Improved Estimates of Global Cloud Cover by Addressing Uncertainties Involved in Satellite Cloud Remote Sensing



Cloud Remote Sensing from Radars



PostDoc Project PRISTINE



Ice and snow simulations



Simulated dendrite crystals

Reiter algorithm to make dendrites



Image curtsey: Reiter, 2005

Plate crystals for very small (non branched)







Aspect ratio calculation for 2mom dendrite crystals to match with ICON 2mom mass-size relation



Crystal images with new_aspect ratios to match with ICON 2mom masses

Changed aspect ratio of ice crystals to match ICON m-D relationship



Plate size up to 0.5 mm size (Um et al., 2015) (IMPRINT under revision) Dendrite size > 0.5mm

Aggregation is a key microphysical process for the formation of precipitable ice particles.

Observation



Snow Aggregation Model



Schematic Diagram of aggregation process.

VISSS camera



 $K_{i,j} = (D_i^2 + D_j^2)|v_i - v_j|$



$$K_{i,j} = (D_i^2 + D_j^2)|v_i - v_j|$$

Type of monomers: ICON ice crystals



$$K_{i,j} = (D_i^2 + D_j^2)|v_i - v_j|$$

Type of monomers: ICON ice crystals

Number of monomers: 2 - 500



$$K_{i,j} = (D_i^2 + D_j^2)|v_i - v_j|$$

Type of monomers: ICON ice crystals

Number of monomers: 2 - 500

<u>Monomer size distribution</u>: inverse exponential mean size 0.05 to 1 mm



$$N(D) \propto \exp(-D/D_0)$$

30 monomers of thin plate and dendrites

40 monomers of thin plate and dendrites





aggregates using plates (upto 0.5mm) and dendrites (for 2mom ICON microphysics)

ICON Dmin=50e-6m (50 um), Dmax=5cm



Selection of masses from simulated aggregates



aggregated masses within 10% of ICON 2mom masses for 1 to 100 monomers for size parameter 0.05 to 1 mm

mass values corresponding to each ICON size bin



ICON assumptions for snowflakes

Dmin = 50.0e-6 ! 50um and Dmax = 50.0e-3 ! 50mm Divided into 256 linearly spaced size bins

around 70 linearly spaced ICON size bins are filled with snow aggregates for size 1mm to 2cm

Scattering

7D complex problem in Lab Reference Frame $\begin{bmatrix} E_{\vartheta L}^{\text{sca}}(r\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}) \\ E_{\varphi L}^{\text{sca}}(r\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\exp(ik_1r)}{r} \mathbf{S}^L(\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{inc}}; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \begin{bmatrix} E_{\vartheta \vartheta L}^{\text{inc}} \\ E_{\vartheta \varphi L}^{\text{inc}} \end{bmatrix}$

actually 5D *computationally* (scattering directions are for free) and for radar (only backward and forward scattering)

(a) (b)



5D problem for radar (only backward and forward scattering)



2D if horizontally aligned (elevation, azimuth)

(a) (b)



5D problem for radar (only backward and forward scattering)



2D if horizontally aligned (elevation, azimuth)

Constant tilt 10 deg



$$\alpha = avg \ \beta = 10 \ \gamma = avg$$



5D problem for radar (only backward and forward scattering)



2D if horizontally aligned (elevation, azimuth)



Constant tilt 10 deg



Wait! Am I revisiting the same point multiple times?

7D complex problem in LRF

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{\vartheta L}^{\text{sca}}(r\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}) \\ E_{\varphi L}^{\text{sca}}(r\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\exp(ik_1r)}{r} \mathbf{S}^L(\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{inc}}; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \begin{bmatrix} E_{0\vartheta L}^{\text{inc}} \\ E_{0\varphi L}^{\text{inc}} \end{bmatrix}$$

5D problem for radar (only backward and forward scattering)

 $\begin{aligned} &2\text{D complex problem in} \\ &\text{Particle Reference Frame} \\ & \begin{bmatrix} E_{\partial P}^{\text{sca}}(r\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}) \\ E_{\phi P}^{\text{sca}}(r\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\exp(ik_1 r)}{r} \mathbf{S}^{P}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{sca}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\text{inc}}) \begin{bmatrix} E_{0\partial P}^{\text{inc}} \\ E_{0\phi P}^{\text{inc}} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$



... as long as you know how to account for the rotate polarization plane...

Transform PRF to LRF



Regular "lat-lon" grids are easy to implement but inefficient



inefficient great for horiz. aligned

Regular "lat-lon" grids are easy to implement but inefficient

icosphere grids are equally spaced



inefficient great for horiz. aligned



Regular "lat-lon" grids are easy to implement but inefficient

icosphere grids are equally spaced

Taking power of 2 subdivisions of the seed icosahedron we can improve resolution while "recycling" previous calculations

8 subdivisions:

- 642 nodes
- 1.34 deg angular separation







Regular "lat-lon" grids are easy to implement but inefficient

icosphere grids are equally spaced

Taking power of 2 subdivisions of the seed icosahedron we can improve resolution while "recycling" previous calculations

8 subdivisions:

- 642 nodes
- 1.34 deg angular separation

would have been 36k nodes on lat-lon!!



-1

Preliminary results for single crystals



$$\hat{Z}(el) = \int f_{\alpha}(\alpha) f_{\beta}(\beta) f_{\gamma}(\gamma) \underline{Z(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, el)}$$

4D again!! but.. $f_{\alpha}(\alpha) = f_{\gamma}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$

Preliminary results for single crystals

$$\hat{Z}(el) = \int f_{\alpha}(\alpha) f_{\beta}(\beta) f_{\gamma}(\gamma) \underline{Z(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, el)}$$

<

$$Z >_{aro} (el, \beta) = \int f_{\alpha}(\alpha) f_{\gamma}(\gamma) Z(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, el)$$



4D again!! but..

2D !!

$$f_{\alpha}(\alpha) = f_{\gamma}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

1

