Mixed phase clouds in the spectral coupled cloud microphysics model COSMO-SPECS

Roland Schrödner, Martin Simmel, Fabian Senf, Jens Stoll Johannes Bühl, Oswald Knoth, Ina Tegen

Mail: roland.schroedner@tropos.de Twitter: @RSchroed





liquid water present on ice crystals

system

COSMO-SPECS

Sedimentation

TROPOS



Case study CyCare 2017/01/24



- 6 nesting steps
- Outer nest driven by ICON-Global
- Domains Cy1-Cy5 with two-mom microphysics
- Cy6 with SPECS
- Boundary conditions for hydrometeor distributions
 Seifert and Beheng (2006), Met Atmos Phys
- ~1000 CCN cm⁻³
- ~1 INP L⁻¹ (-20°C)



Classification - CyCare 2017/01/24



Sensitivity - CyCare 2017/01/24



 10^{-2}

 $P_{Obs} \sim 0.1 \text{ mm}$

Sensitivity - CyCare 2017/01/24



TROPOS

 10^{-2}

Hydrometeor mass spectra: liquid + frozen

base

CCN x 0.1: less condensate, smaller rain droplets

INP x 0.01: less ice, larger rain droplets



30min rain mass tendencies (> 100 μ m)



Sensitivity - CyCare 2017/01/24



Summary

- Tasks achieved
 - COSMO-SPECS running for realistic 3D scenarios
 - Updated treatment of INP for immersion, contact, deposition freezing
 - Implemented latest SPECS process model updates (Diehl and Grützun, 2018)
 - Full output of all microphysical tendencies
 - Application and analysis of CyCare cases
 - Sensitivity studies on the effect of different processes and setup
 - e.g. INP concentration, aerosol concentration
 - Interesting response for INP variation
 - Competition in precipitation formation between riming and autoconversion



Outlook

- Work in progress
 - GMD paper on the model and example application
- Further plans
 - More case studies incl. DACAPO-PESO
 - Enable forward operator(s)
 - Process updates: ice multiplication, INP recycling, ice crystal shape

near

far

TROP

- Inform SPECS by MUSCAT aerosol properties
- Coupling to ICON + extended parallelisation

Treatment of INP

Approach #1: INP budget

- Initialize budget of INP at simulation start and boundaries
 - e.g. using INP parameterization (fixed, or depending on aerosol)
- Consume INP
- No release of INP

Approach #2: Diagnose INP based on immersed aerosol

- INP directly depend on current aerosol distribution
- INP are consumed and released

Treatment of INP – immersion freezing



- Calculate or set $N_{AP} > 0.5 \,\mu m$
 - Budget: Init, Boundaries
 - Diagnosis: every time step
- Calculate N_{INP}(T)
- Freeze N_{INP} droplets
- Budget: decrease N_{INP}

Treatment of INP – contact freezing

- Collision kernel as for hydromete
- Separate field for contact IN
 - Interstitial aerosol particles



- Gamma functions from COSMO's 2
- Boundaries as used in COSMO
- Size distribution is discretized in SF
- Overlap for cloud ice and snow
- Bulk mass is maintained



Fall speed



Full domain 6hr rain mass tendencies (> 100



Sensitivity condensate mass



Coupling COSMO <-> SPECS





Add water until equilibrium with water vapor in the surrounding



Discretize into given SPECS bins (66 at present ~1 nm – 4 mm)



Add already existing COSMO cloud and ice water